

SINGULAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS INVOLVING A CONCAVE TERM AND CRITICAL CAFFARELLI - KOHN - NIRENBERG EXPONENT WITH SIGN - CHANGING WEIGHT FUNCTIONS

MOHAMMED BOUCHEKIF , ATIKA MATALLAH

ABSTRACT . In this article we establish the existence of at least two distinct solutions to singular elliptic equations involving a concave term and critical Caffarelli - Kohn - Nirenberg exponent with sign - changing weight functions .

1 . INTRODUCTION

This article shows the existence of at least two solutions to the problem

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{|x|^{2a}}\right) - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2(a+1)}} = \lambda h(x) \frac{|u|^{q-2}u}{|x|^c} + k(x) \frac{|u|^{2^*-2}u}{|x|^{2^*b}} \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\} \quad (1.1)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open bounded domain , $N \geq 3$, $0 \in \Omega$, $a < (N-2)/2$,

$$a \leq b < a + 1, 1 < q < 2, c \leq q(a+1) + N(1-q/2), 2_* := 2N/(N-2+2(b-a))$$

is the critical Caffarelli - Kohn - Nirenberg exponent , $\mu < \bar{\mu}a := (N-2(a+1))^2/4$, λ is a positive parameter and h, k are continuous functions which change sign in Ω .

It is clear that degeneracy and singularity occur in problem (1.1). In these situations , the classical methods fail to be applied directly so that the existence results may become a delicate matter that is closely related to some phenomena due to the degenerate (or singular) character of the differential equation . The starting point of the variational approach to these problems is the following Caffarelli - Kohn - Nirenberg inequality in [6] : there is a positive constant $C_{a,b}$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2_*b} |u|^{2_*} dx\right)^{1/2_*} \leq C_{a,b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \quad (1.2)$$

where $-\infty < a < (N-2)/2$, $a \leq b < a + 1$, $2_* = 2N/(N-2+2(b-a))$. For sharp constants and extremal functions , see [7 , 9] . In (1.2) , as $b = a + 1$, then $2_* = 2$ and we have the following weighted Hardy inequality [9] :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)} u^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}a} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx \quad \text{for all } u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \quad (1.3)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* . 35A15 , 35B25 , 35B33 , 35J60 .

Key words and phrases . Variational methods ; critical Caffarelli - Kohn - Nirenberg exponent ; concave term ; singular and sign - changing weights ; Palais - Smale condition .

circlecoppyrt – c2010 Texas State University - San Marcos .

Submitted September 28 , 2009 . Published March 3 , 2010 .

2 M. BOUCHEKIF, A. MATALLAH EJDE - 2010 / 32 We introduce a weighted Sobolev space $D_a^{1,2}(\Omega)$ which is the completion of the space $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{0,a} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Define H_μ as the completion of the space $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mu,a} := \left(\int_{\Omega} (|x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} u^2) dx \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } -\infty < \mu < \bar{\mu}a.$$

By weighted Hardy inequality $\|\cdot\|_{\mu,a}$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{0,a}$; i. e. ,

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}a} \max(\mu, 0)\right)^{1/2} \|u\|_{0,a} \leq \|u\|_{\mu,a} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}a} \min(\mu, 0)\right)^{1/2} \|u\|_{0,a},$$

for all $u \in H_\mu$. From the boundedness of Ω and the standard approximation arguments, it is easy to see that (1.2) hold for any $u \in H_\mu$ in the sense

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad (1.4)$$

for $1 \leq p \leq 2N/(N-2)$, $c \leq p(a+1) + N(1-p/2)$, and in [15] if $p < 2N/(N-2)$ the embedding $H_\mu \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, |x|^{-c})$ is compact, where $L_p(\Omega, |x|^{-c})$ is the weighted L_p space with norm

$$\|u\|_{p,c} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-c} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

We start by giving a brief historic point of view. It is known that the number of nontrivial solutions of problem (1.1) is affected by the concave and convex terms. This study has been the focus of a great deal of research in recent years.

The case $h \equiv 1$ and $k \equiv 1$ has been studied extensively by many authors, we refer the reader to [1], [2], [8], [14] and the references therein. In [1] Ambrosetti et al. studied the problem (1.1) for $\mu = 0, a = b = c = 0, 2_* = 2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ replaced by p , where $1 < p \leq 2_*$. They establish the existence of $\Lambda_0 > 0$ such that $(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,0})$ for λ fixed in $(0, \Lambda_0)$ has at least two positive solutions by using sub-super method and the Mountain Pass Theorem, problem (1.1) for $\lambda = \Lambda_0$ has also a positive solution and no positive solution for $\lambda > \Lambda_0$. When $\mu > 0, a = b = c = 0$, Chen [8] studied the asymptotic behavior of solutions to problem (1.1) by using the Moser's iteration. By applying the Ekeland Variational Principle he obtained a first positive solution, and by the Mountain Pass Theorem he proved the existence of a second positive solution. Recently, Boucekif and Matallah [2] extended the results of [8] to problem $(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,\mu})$ with $a = c = 0, 0 \leq b < 1$, they established the existence of two positive solutions under some sufficient conditions for λ and μ . Lin [14] considered a more general problem (1.1) with $0 \leq a < (N-2)/2, a \leq b < a+1$,

$$c = 0, 1 < q < 2 \text{ and } \mu > 0.$$

For the case $h \neq 1$ or $k \neq 1$, we refer the reader to [3, 12, 17, 18] and the references therein. Tarantello [17] studied the problem (1.1) for $\mu = 0, a = b = c = 0, q = \lambda = 1, k \equiv 1$ and h not necessarily equals to 1, satisfying some conditions.

Recently , problem (1 . 1) in $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ with $q = 1$ has considered in [3] .

Wu [1 8] showed the existence of multiple positive solutions for problem (1 . 1) with $a = b = c = 0, 1 < q < 2, k \equiv 1, h$ is a continuous function which changes sign in $\bar{\Omega}$. In [1 2] , Hsu and Lin established the existence of multiple nontrivial solutions to problem (1 . 1) with $a = b = c = 0, 1 < q < 2, h$ and k are smooth functions which change sign in $\bar{\Omega}$.

The operator $L_{\mu,a}u := -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a}\nabla u) - \mu|x|^{-2(a+1)}u$ has been the subject of many papers, we quote, among others [11] for $a = 0$ and $\mu < \bar{\mu}0$, and [10] or [16] for general case i. e. $-\infty < a < (N-2)/2$ and $\mu < \bar{\mu}a$.

Xuan et al. [16] proved that under the conditions

$$N \geq 3, \quad a < (N-2)/2, \quad 0 < \sqrt{\bar{\mu}a} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu}a - \mu} + a < (N-2)/2, \\ a \leq b < a+1, \quad \mu < \bar{\mu}a - b^2,$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$, the function

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = C_0 \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2_*-2}} (\varepsilon \sqrt{2 - \bar{\mu}a - \mu} \sqrt{\bar{\mu}a - \mu} |x|^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}} (\sqrt{\bar{\mu}a} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu}a - \mu})_{+|x|}^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}} (\sqrt{\bar{\mu}a} + \sqrt{\bar{\mu}a - \mu}) - \frac{2}{2_*-2}) \quad (1.5)$$

with a suitable positive constant C_0 , is a weak solution of

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a}\nabla u) - \mu|x|^{-2(a+1)}u = |x|^{-2_*b}|u|^{2_*-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)} \varepsilon_u^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2_*b} |u_\varepsilon|^{2_*} dx = A_{a,b,\mu}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $A_{a,b,\mu}$ is the best constant,

$$A_{a,b,\mu} = \inf_{H \in u, \mu \setminus \{0\}} E_{a,b,\mu}(u) = E_{a,b,\mu}(u_\varepsilon), \quad (1.7)$$

with

$$E_{a,b,\mu}(u) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)} u^2 dx}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2_*b} |u|^{2_*} dx)^{2/2_*}}.$$

Also in [13] and [14], they proved that for $0 \leq a < (N-2)/2$, $a \leq b < a+1$, $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}a$, the function defined for $\varepsilon > 0$ as

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\sqrt{+|x|^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}} (\sqrt{\bar{\mu}a} + \sqrt{\bar{\mu}a - \mu}) - \frac{2}{2_*-2}}}{(2.2_* \varepsilon^2 (\bar{\mu}a - \mu))^{\frac{1}{2_*-2}} (\varepsilon^2 |x|^{\sqrt{(2_*-2)(\bar{\mu}a - \mu)}})^2}$$

(1.8) is a weak solution of

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2a}\nabla u) - \mu|x|^{-2(a+1)}u = |x|^{-2_*b}|u|^{2_*-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2(a+1)} \varepsilon_v^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2_*b} |v_\varepsilon|^{2_*} dx = B_{a,b,\mu}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $B_{a,b,\mu}$ is the best constant,

$$B_{a,b,\mu} := \inf_{H \in u_\mu \setminus \{0\}} E_{a,b,\mu}(u) = E_{a,b,\mu}(v_\varepsilon). \quad (1.10)$$

A natural question that arises in concert applications is to see what happens if these elliptic (degenerate or non - degenerate) problems are affected by a certain singular perturbations . In our work we prove the existence of at least two distinct nonnegative critical points of energy functional associated to problem (1 . 1) by splitting the Nehari manifold (see for example Tarantello [1 7] or Brown and

Zhang[5]).

In this work we consider the following assumptions :

(H) h is a continuous function defined in $\bar{\Omega}$ and there exist h_0 and ρ_0 positive such that $h(x) \geq h_0$ for all $x \in B(0, 2\rho_0)$, where $B(a, r)$ is a ball centered at a with radius r ;

4 M. BOUCHEKIF, A. MATALLAH EJDE - 2010 / 32 (K) k is a continuous function defined in $\bar{\Omega}$ and satisfies $k(0) = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} k(x) >$

$$0, k(x) = k(0) + o(x^\beta) \text{ for } x \in B(0, 2\rho_0) \text{ with } \beta > 2_*\sqrt{\bar{\mu}a - \mu};$$

and one of the following two assumptions

$$(A1) \quad N > 2(|b| + 1) \text{ and}$$

$$(a, \mu) \in]-1, 0[\times]0, \bar{\mu}a - b^2[\cup [0, \frac{N-2}{2}[\times]a(a-N+2), \bar{\mu}a - b^2[,$$

$$(A2) \quad N \geq 3, (a, \mu) \in [0, \frac{N-2}{2}[\times]0, \bar{\mu}a[.$$

Following the method introduced in [17, 12], we obtain the following existence result.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $a < (N-2)/2$, $a \leq b < a+1$, $1 < q < 2$, $c \leq q(a+1) + N(1-q/2)$, (H), (K) hold and (A1) or (A2) are satisfied. Then there exists $\Lambda^* > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda^*)$ problem (1.1) has at least two nonnegative solutions in H_μ .*

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give some preliminaries. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We start by giving the following definitions.

Let E be a Banach space and a functional $I \in \mathcal{C}^1(E, \mathbb{R})$. We say that (u_n) is a Palais Smale sequence at level l ($(PS)l$ in short) if $I(u_n) \rightarrow l$ and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in E' (dual of E) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We say also that I satisfies the Palais Smale condition at level l if any $(PS)l$ sequence has a subsequence converging strongly in E .

Define

$$w_\varepsilon := \begin{cases} u_\varepsilon & \text{if } (a, \mu) \in]-1, 0[\times]0, \bar{\mu}a - b^2[\cup [0, \frac{N-2}{2}[\times]a(a-N+2), \bar{\mu}a - b^2[, \\ v_\varepsilon & \text{if } (a, \mu) \in [0, \frac{N-2}{2}[\times]0, \bar{\mu}a[, \end{cases}$$

(2.1) and

$$S_{a,b,\mu} :=$$

$$\begin{cases} A_{a,b,\mu} & \text{if } (a, \mu) \in]-1, 0[\times]0, \bar{\mu}a - b^2[\cup [0, \frac{N-2}{2}[\times]a(a-N+2), \bar{\mu}a - b^2[, \\ B_{a,b,\mu} & \text{if } (a, \mu) \in [0, \frac{N-2}{2}[\times]0, \bar{\mu}a[, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Since our approach is variational, we define the functional $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ as

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x) |x|^{-c} |u|^q dx - \frac{1}{2_*} \int_{\Omega} k(x) |x|^{-2_*b} |u|^{2_*} dx,$$

for $u \in H_\mu$. By (1.2) and (1.4) we can guarantee that $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ is well defined in H_μ

$$\text{and } I_{\lambda,\mu} \in C^1(H_\mu, \mathbb{R}).$$

$u \in H_\mu$ is said to be a weak solution of (1.1) if it satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} (|x|^{-2a} \nabla u \nabla v - \mu |x|^{-2(a+1)} uv - \lambda h(x) |x|^{-c} |u|^{q-2} uv - k(x) |x|^{-2_* b} |u|^{2_*-2} uv) dx = 0$$

for all $v \in H_{\mu}$. By the standard elliptic regularity argument , we have that $u \in$

$$C^2(\Omega \setminus \{0\}).$$

In many problems as (1.1), $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ is not bounded below on H_{μ} but is bounded below on an appropriate subset of H_{μ} and a minimizer in this set (if it exists) may give rise to solutions of the corresponding differential equation .

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \{u \in H_\mu \setminus \{0\}, \langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

It is useful to understand \mathcal{N}_λ in terms of the stationary points of mappings of the form

$$\Psi_u(t) = I_{\lambda,\mu}(tu), \quad t > 0,$$

and so

$$\Psi'_u(t) = \langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(tu), u \rangle = \frac{1}{t} \langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(tu), tu \rangle.$$

An immediate consequence is the following proposition. **Proposition 2.1.** *Let $u \in H_\mu \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$. Then $tu \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ if and only if*

$$\Psi'_u(t) = 0.$$

Let u be a local minimizer of $I_{\lambda,\mu}$, then Ψ_u has a local minimum at $t = 1$. So it is natural to split \mathcal{N}_λ into three subsets $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+, \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ and \mathcal{N}_λ^0 corresponding respectively to local minimums, local maximums and points of inflexion.

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ &= \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : (2-q) \|u\|^2 a - (2_* - q) \int_\Omega k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx > 0\} \\ &= \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : (2 - 2_*) \|u\|^2 a + (2_* - q) \lambda \int_\Omega h(x) \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^c} dx > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that \mathcal{N}_λ^- and \mathcal{N}_λ^0 similarly by replacing $>$ by $<$ and $=$ respectively.

$$c_\lambda := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda} I_{\lambda,\mu}(u); \quad \lambda_c^+ := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} I_{\lambda,\mu}(u); \quad c_\lambda^- := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} I_{\lambda,\mu}(u). \quad (2.3)$$

The following lemma shows that minimizers on \mathcal{N}_λ are critical points for $I_{\lambda,\mu}$. **Lemma 2.2.** *Assume that u is a local minimizer for $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ on \mathcal{N}_λ and that $u \notin \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$.*

$$\text{Then } I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0.$$

The proof of the above lemma is essentially the same as that of [5, Theorem 2.3].

Lemma 2.3. *Let*

$$\Lambda_1 := \left(\frac{2-q}{2_*-q} \frac{2-q}{2_*-q} \left(\frac{2_*-2}{(2_*-q)C_1} \|h^+\|_\infty^{-1} \|k^+\|_\infty (S_{a,b,\mu})^{line-minus} 4^{\frac{N(2-q)}{a+1-b}} \right) \right),$$

where $\eta^+(x) = \max(\eta(x), 0)$, and $\|\eta^+\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \text{ess } |\eta^+(x)|$. Then $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \emptyset$ for all

$$\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1).$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 \neq \emptyset$. Then for $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 &= \frac{2_* - q}{2 - q} \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_* b}} dx, \\ \|u\|_{\mu^2,a} &= \lambda \frac{2_* - q}{2_* - 2} \int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^c} dx.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover by (H), (K), Caffarelli - Kohn - Nirenberg and Hölder inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 &\geq \left(\frac{2 - q}{(2_* - 2) \|k^+\|_{\infty}} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/2} \right)^{2/(2_* - 2)}, \\ \|u\|_{\mu^2,a} &\leq \left(\lambda \frac{2_* - q}{2_* - 2} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{-q/2} C_1 \|h^+\|_{\infty} \right)^{2/(2 - q)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda \geq \Lambda_1$. From this, we can conclude that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^0 = \emptyset$ if $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$. \square

6 M . BOUCHEKIF , A . MATALLAH EJDE - 2 0 1 0 / 3 2 Thus we conclude that $\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ \cup \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$.

Lemma 2 . 4 . Let λ_c^+, c_λ^- defined in (2 . 1) . Then there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_c^+ < 0 \forall \lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1) \quad \text{and} \quad c_\lambda^- > \delta_0 \forall \lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{2} \Lambda_1).$$

Proof *f - period* Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx < \frac{2-q}{2_*-q} \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_c^+ &\leq I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx \\ &< -\frac{(2-q)(2_*-2)}{2 \cdot 2_*q} \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Then

$$\frac{2-q}{2_*-q} \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 < \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx.$$

Moreover by (H) , (K) and Caffarelli - Kohn - Nirenberg inequality , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx \leq (S_{a,b,\mu})^{-2_*/2} \|u\|_{\mu,a}^{2_*} |k^+|_{\infty}.$$

This implies

$$\|u\|_{\mu,a} > \left(\frac{2-q}{(2_*-2)|k^+|_{\infty}}\right)^{1/(2_*-2)} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2(2_*-2))}.$$

On the other hand ,

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq \|u\|_{\mu,a}^q \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|u\|_{\mu,a}^{2-q} - \lambda \frac{2_*-q}{2_*q} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{-q/2} C_1 |h^+|_{\infty}$$

Thus , if $\lambda \in (0, \text{line} - q_2 \Lambda_1)$ we get $I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq \delta_0$, where

$$\delta_0 := \left(\frac{\text{line} - \text{minus} - q_2}{(2_*-2)|k^+|_{\infty}}\right) \frac{q}{2_*-2} (S_{a,b,\mu}) \frac{2_*q}{2(2_*-2)} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) (S_{a,b,\mu}) \frac{2_*(2-q)}{2(2_*-2)} \left(\frac{q - \text{minus} - \text{line} 2}{(2_*-q)|k^+|_{\infty}}\right) - \lambda_{2_*-2}^{\text{line} - \text{minus} 2_*} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{-q/2} C_1 |h^+|_{\infty}$$

As in [1 8 , Proposition 9] , we have the following result . **Lemma 2 . 5 .** (i)

If $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, then there exists a $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ s equence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$

for $I_{\lambda,\mu}$.

(i i) If $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q}{2} \Lambda_1)$, then there exists a $(PS)_{c_\lambda^-}$ s equence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ for $I_{\lambda,\mu}$.

We define

$$K^+ := \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx > 0\}, \quad K_0^- := \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx \leq 0\},$$

$$H^+ := \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^c} dx > 0\}, \quad H_0^- := \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^c} dx \leq 0\},$$

$$t_{\max} = t_{\max}(u) := \left(\frac{2-q}{2_*-2}\right)^{1/(2_*-2)} \|u\|_{\mu, a}^{2/(2_*-2)} \left(\int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx\right) - 1/(2_*-2),$$

for $u \in K^+$. Then we have the following result . **Proposition 2 . 6 .** For $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$ we have

(1) If $u \in K^+ \cap H_0^-$ then there exists unique $t^+ > t_{\max}$ such that $t^+u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^-$ and

$$I_{\lambda, \mu}(t^+u) \geq I_{\lambda, \mu}(tu) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_{\max};$$

(2) If $u \in K^+ \cap H^+$, then there exist unique t^-, t^+ such that $0 < t^- < t_{\max} <$

$$t^+, t^-u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+, t^+u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^- \text{ and}$$

$$I_{\lambda, \mu}(t^+u) \geq I_{\lambda, \mu}(tu) \text{ for } t \geq t^- \text{ and } I_{\lambda, \mu}(t^-u) \leq I_{\lambda, \mu}(tu) \text{ for } t \in [0, t^+].$$

(3) If $u \in K^- \cap H^-$, then does not exist $t > 0$ such that $tu \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$.

(4) If $u \in K_0^- \cap H^+$, then there exists unique $0 < t^- < +\infty$ such that $t^-u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+$ and

$$I_{\lambda, \mu}(t^-u) = \inf_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda, \mu}(tu).$$

Proof . For $u \in H_{\mu}$, we have

$$\Psi_u(t) = I_{\lambda, \mu}(tu) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{\mu, a}^2 - \lambda \frac{t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^c} dx - \frac{t^{2_*}}{2_*} \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx$$

and

$$\Psi'_u(t) = t^{q-1}(\varphi u(t) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^c} dx),$$

where

$$\varphi u(t) = t^{2-q} \|u\|_{\mu, a}^2 - t^{2_*-q} \int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx.$$

Easy computations show that φu is concave and achieves its maximum at

$$t_{\max} := \left(\frac{2-q}{2_*-2}\right)^{1/(2_*-2)} \|u\|_{\mu, a}^{2/(2_*-2)} \left(\int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx\right) - 1/(2_*-2)$$

for $u \in K^+$; that is ,

$$\Psi(t_{\max}) = C_{a,b,q,N} \|u\|_{\mu, a}^{(2_*-q)/(2_*-2)} \left(\int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx\right) (q-2)/(2_*-2),$$

where

$$C_{a,b,q,N} = \frac{2_*+q-4}{2_*-2} \left(\frac{2-q}{2_*-2}\right)^{(2-q)/(2_*-2)}.$$

Then we can get the conclusion of our proposition easily . \square

3 . PROOF OF THEOREM 1 . 1 **Existence of a local minimum for $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ on \mathcal{N}_λ^+ .**

We want to prove that $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ can achieve a local minimizer on \mathcal{N}_λ^+ .

Proposition 3 . 1 . *Let $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, then $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ has a minimizer u_λ in \mathcal{N}_λ^+ such that*

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_\lambda) = \lambda_c^+ < 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, there exists a minimizing sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ such that $I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow c_\lambda$ and $I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in H_μ^{-1} (dual of H_μ). Since

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|u_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{|u_n|^q}{|x|^c},$$

by Caffarelli - Kohn - Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$c_\lambda + o_n(1) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|u_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \lambda \frac{2_* - q}{2_* q} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{-q/2} C_1 \|h^+\|_{\infty} \|u_n\|_{\mu,a}^q,$$

where $o_n(1)$ denotes that $o_n(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus (u_n) is bounded in H_μ , then passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have the following convergence :

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u_\lambda \quad \text{in } H_\mu, \\ u_n &\rightharpoonup u_\lambda \quad \text{in } L_{2_*}(\Omega, |x|^{-2_*b}), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_\lambda \quad \text{in } L_q(\Omega, |x|^{-c}), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_\lambda \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is a weak solution of (1.1). As $c_\lambda < 0$ and $I_{\lambda,\mu}(0) = 0$, then u_λ equivalence - negation slash 0. Now we show that $u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in H_μ . Suppose otherwise, then $\|u_\lambda\|_\mu < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_\mu$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda &\leq I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_\lambda) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|u_\lambda\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \lambda \frac{2_* - q}{2_* q} \int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{|u_\lambda|^q}{|x|^c} \\ &< \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|u_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \lambda \frac{2_* - q}{2_* q} \int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{|u_n|^q}{|x|^c} \right) \\ &= c_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain a contradiction. Consequently $u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda$ strongly in H_μ . Moreover, we have $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. If not $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, thus $\Psi'_u(1) = 0$ and $\Psi''_u(1) < 0$, which implies that

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_\lambda) > 0, \text{ contradiction. } \square$$

Existence of a local minimum for $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ on \mathcal{N}_λ^- . To prove the existence of a second nonnegative solution we need the following results.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (u_n) is a (PS) sequence with $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in H_μ . Then there exists positive constant $\tilde{C} := C(a, b, N, q, \|h^+\|_{\infty}, S_{a,b,\mu})$ such that*

$$I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq -\tilde{C} \lambda^{2/(2-q)}.$$

Proof. It is easy to prove that $I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0$, which implies that $\langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u), u \rangle = 0$, and

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) - \frac{1}{2_*} \langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u), u \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^c} dx.$$

By Caffarelli - Kohn - Nirenberg, Hölder and Young inequalities we find that

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \lambda \frac{2_* - q}{2_* q} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{-q/2} C_1 \|h^+\|_{\infty} \|u\|_{\mu,a}^q.$$

There exists \tilde{C} such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) t^2 - \lambda \frac{2_* - q}{2_* q} (S_{a,b,\mu})^{-q/2} C_1 \|h^+\|_{\infty} t^q \geq -\tilde{C} \lambda^{2/(2-q)} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Lemma 3.3. Let (u_n) in H_μ be such that

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow l < l^* := \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|k^+\|_\infty (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)} - \tilde{C}\lambda^{2/(2-q)}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } H_\mu^{-1}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then there exists a subsequence strongly convergent. *Proof.* From (3.1) and (3.2) we deduce that (u_n) is bounded. Thus up a subsequence, we have the following convergence:

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H_\mu, \\ u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L_{2_*}(\Omega, |x|^{-2_*b}), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ in } L_q(\Omega, |x|^{-c}), \end{aligned}$$

$u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in Ω . Then u is a weak solution of problem (1.1).

Denote $v_n = u_n - u$. As k is continuous on Ω , then the Brézis-Lieb [4] leads to

$$\int_\Omega k(x) \frac{|u_n|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx = \int_\Omega k(x) \frac{|v_n|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x) \frac{|u|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\|u_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 = \|v_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 + \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 + o_n(1). \quad (3.4)$$

Using the Lebesgue theorem, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega h(x) \frac{|u_n|^q}{|x|^c} dx = \int_\Omega h(x) \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^c} dx. \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we deduce that

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n) = I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \frac{1}{2_*} \int_\Omega k(x) \frac{|v_n|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx + o_n(1),$$

and

$$\langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u_n), u_n \rangle = \langle I'_{\lambda,\mu}(u), u \rangle + \|v_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \int_\Omega k(x) \frac{|v_n|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx + o_n(1),$$

using the fact that $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in H_μ , we can assume that

$$\|v_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 \rightarrow \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Omega k(x) \frac{|v_n|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx \rightarrow \theta \geq 0.$$

By the definition of $S_{a,b,\mu}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n\|_{\mu,a}^2 &\geq S_{a,b,\mu} \left(\int_\Omega \frac{|v_n|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx \right)^{2/2_*}, \\ \text{and so } \theta &\geq \|k^+\|_\infty S_{a,b,\mu} \theta^{2/2_*}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\theta \neq 0$, then $\theta \geq \|k^+\|_\infty (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)}$, and we get by Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
l &= I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right)\theta \\
&\geq -\tilde{C}\lambda^{2/(2-q)} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|k^+\|_\infty (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)} = l^*
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction . So $l = 0$; i . e . , $u_n \rightarrow u$ in

H_μ . \square

In the following , we shall give some estimates for the extremal functions defined in (2.1). Let $\Psi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $0 \leq \Psi(x) \leq 1$, $\Psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq \rho_0$, $\Psi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2\rho_0$, where ρ_0 is a small positive number . Set

$$\tilde{u}(x) = (|x| \frac{2_*-2}{2}(\sqrt{\mu a} - \sqrt{\mu a^-} \mu) + |x| \frac{2_*-2}{2}(\sqrt{\mu a} + \sqrt{\mu a^-} \mu)) - \frac{2}{2_*-2}$$

$$\tilde{v}_\varepsilon(x) = 2^{\sqrt{\mu a} + \sqrt{\mu a^-} \mu} \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\mu a} + \sqrt{\mu a^-} \mu} - 2_* - 2} \Psi_{\text{if } x}(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\mu a} + \sqrt{\mu a^-} \mu} - 2_* - 2} \mu^{-b} \text{ holds, } |x|^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}(\sqrt{\mu a} - \sqrt{\mu a^-} \mu)} \Psi_{\text{if } x}(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\mu a} + \sqrt{\mu a^-} \mu} - 2_* - 2} \mu^{-b} \text{ holds, } |x|^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}(\sqrt{\mu a} + \sqrt{\mu a^-} \mu)} + |x|^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}(\sqrt{\mu a} + \sqrt{\mu a^-} \mu)}) - \frac{2}{2_*-2}$$

By a straightforward computation , one finds

$$\int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx = \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2(a+1-b)}{2(a+1-b)}} |k^+|_\infty \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\tilde{u}|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx + O(\varepsilon),$$

where $O(\varepsilon^\zeta)$ denotes $|O(\varepsilon^\zeta)|/\varepsilon^\zeta \leq C$,

$$\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2 = \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2}{2(a+1-b)}(a+1-b)} \|\tilde{u}\|_{\mu,a}^2 + O(1),$$

$$\frac{\|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2}{\int_{\Omega} k(x) \frac{|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx} = O(\varepsilon^{N-2(a+1-b) \text{line-} \text{parenleft-} \text{minus} 2a+1-b}).$$

Lemma 3.4. Let l^* be defined in Lemma 3.3, then there exists $\Lambda_4 > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_4)$ we have $l^* > 0$ and $\sup I_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_\varepsilon) < l^*$.

$$t \geq 0$$

Proof. We consider the following two functions

$$f(t) = I_{\lambda,\mu}(t\tilde{v}_\varepsilon) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \frac{t^{2_*}}{2_*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x) \frac{|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx - \lambda \frac{t^q}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \frac{|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^q}{|x|^c} dx,$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mu,a}^2 - \frac{t^{2_*}}{2_*} |k^+|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^{2_*}}{|x|^{2_*b}} dx.$$

Let $\Lambda_2 > 0$ be such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) |k^+|_\infty (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)} - \tilde{C}\lambda^{2/(2-q)} > 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in (0, \Lambda_2).$$

Then

$$f(0) = 0 < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) |k^+|_\infty (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)} - \tilde{C}\lambda^{2/(2-q)} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in (0, \Lambda_2).$$

By the continuity of $f(t)$, there exists $t_1 > 0$ small enough such that

$$f(t) < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) |k^+|_\infty (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)} - \tilde{C}\lambda^{2/(2-q)} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, t_1).$$

On the other hand ,

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \tilde{f}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) |k^+|_\infty (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2(a+1-b) \text{minus-} \text{parenleft-} \text{line} 2a+1-b}).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda, \mu}(t\tilde{v}_\varepsilon) &< \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|k^+\|_\infty (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)} + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{N-2(a+1-b)}\right)^{2(a+1-b)} \\
 &\quad - \lambda \frac{t_1^q}{q} h_0 \int_{B(0,\rho_0)} \frac{|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^q}{|x|^c} dx. \\
 \text{Let } 0 < \varepsilon < 0_\rho^{(2_*-2)\sqrt{\mu a - \mu}} &\text{ then} \\
 &\quad \int_{B(0,\rho_0)} \frac{|\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^q}{|x|^c} dx \\
 &= \int_{B(0,\rho_0)} |x|^{-c} \left(\varepsilon \frac{2\sqrt{\mu a - \mu}}{\sqrt{\mu a - \mu} - b} |x|^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}(\sqrt{\mu a} - \sqrt{\mu a - \mu})} + |x|^{\frac{2_*-2}{2}(\sqrt{\mu a} + \sqrt{\mu a - \mu})} \right)^{-2q} 2q 2_* - 2 dx \\
 &\geq C_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking $\varepsilon = \lambda \frac{2(2_*-2)}{2_*-q} \sqrt{\mu a - \mu}$ we get $\lambda < 0_\rho^{(2-q)\sqrt{\mu a - \mu}}$ and

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda, \mu}(t\tilde{v}_\varepsilon) < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_*}\right) \|k^+\|_\infty (S_{a,b,\mu})^{2_*/(2_*-2)} + O(\lambda^{2/(2-q)}) - \lambda \frac{t_1^q}{q} h_0 C_2.$$

Choosing $\Lambda_3 > 0$ such that

$$O(\lambda^{2/(2-q)}) - \lambda \frac{t_1^q}{q} h_0 C_2 < -\tilde{C} \lambda^{2/(2-q)} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in (0, \Lambda_3).$$

Then if we take $\Lambda_4 = \min \{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_3, 0_\rho^{(2-q)\sqrt{\mu a - \mu}}\}$ we deduce that $\sup J_\lambda(t\tilde{v}_\varepsilon) < l^*$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_4)$.

$$t \geq 0$$

□

Now, we prove that $I_{\lambda, \mu}$ can achieve a local minimizer on \mathcal{N}_λ^- .

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\Lambda^* = \min \{q\Lambda_1/2, \Lambda_4\}$. Then for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda^*)$, $I_{\lambda, \mu}$ has a minimizer v_λ in \mathcal{N}_λ^- such that $I_{\lambda, \mu}(v_\lambda) = c_\lambda^-$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, there exists a minimizing sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ for all $\lambda \in (0, q\Lambda_1/2)$ such that $I_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) \rightarrow c_\lambda^-$ and $I'_{\lambda, \mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in H_μ^{-1} . Since $I_{\lambda, \mu}$ is coercive on \mathcal{N}_λ^- thus (u_n) bounded. Then, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have the following convergence:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_n &\rightharpoonup v_\lambda \quad \text{in } H_\mu, \\
 u_n &\rightharpoonup v_\lambda \quad \text{in } L_{2_*}(\Omega, |x|^{-2_*b}), \\
 u_n &\rightarrow v_\lambda \quad \text{in } L_q(\Omega, |x|^{-c}), \\
 u_n &\rightarrow v_\lambda \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4, $c_\lambda^- < l^*$, thus from Lemma 3.3 we deduce that $u_n \rightarrow v_\lambda$ in H_μ . Then we conclude that $I_{\lambda, \mu}(v_\lambda) = c_\lambda^- > 0$. Similarly as the proof of Proposition 3.1, we conclude that $I_{\lambda, \mu}$ has a minimizer v_λ in \mathcal{N}_λ^- for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda^*)$ such that

$$I_{\lambda, \mu}(v_\lambda) = c_\lambda^- > 0. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Propositions 2.6 and 3.5, there exists $\Lambda^* > 0$ such that (1.1) has two nonnegative solutions $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $v_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ since $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+ \cap \mathcal{N}_\lambda^- = \emptyset$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis, G. Cerami: Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems, *J. Funct. Anal.* 122 (1994), 519–543.
- [2] M. Boucekif, A. Matallah: Multiple positive solutions for elliptic equations involving a concave term and critical Sobolev - Hardy exponent, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 22 (2009), 268–275.
- [3] M. Boucekif, A. Matallah: On singular nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Caffarelli - Kohn - Nirenberg exponent, *Ricerche math.* doi 10.1007/s11587-9-56-y.
- [4] H. Brezis, E. Lieb: A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1983), 486–490.
- [5] K. J. Brown, Y. Zhang: The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function, *J. Differential Equations* 193 (2003), 481–499.
- [6] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg: First order interpolation inequality with weights, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 53 (1984), 259–275.
- [7] F. Catrina, Z. Wang: On the Caffarelli - Kohn - Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 54 (2001), 229–257.
- [8] J. Chen: Multiple positive solutions for a class of nonlinear elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 295 (2004), 341–354.
- [9] K. S. Chou, C. W. Chu: On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev - Hardy Inequality, *J. London Math. Soc.* 2 (1993), 137–151.
- [10] L. C. Evans: Partial differential equations, in: graduate studies in mathematics 19, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, Rhode Island, (1998).
- [11] A. Ferrero, F. Gazzola: Existence of solutions for singular critical growth semilinear elliptic equations, *J. Differential Equations* 177 (2001), 494–522.
- [12] T. S. Hsu, H. L. Lin: Multiple positive solutions for singular elliptic equations with concave - convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weights, *Boundary Value Problems*, doi: 10.1155/2009/584203.
- [13] D. Kang, G. Li, S. Peng: Positive solutions and critical dimensions for the elliptic problem involving the Caffarelli - Kohn - Nirenberg inequalities, Preprint.
- [14] M. Lin: Some further results for a class of weighted nonlinear elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008), 537–546.
- [15] B. J. Xuan: The solvability of quasilinear Brezis - Nirenberg - type problems with singular weights, *Nonlinear Anal.* 62 (4) (2005), 703–725.
- [16] B. J. Xuan, S. Su, Y. Yan: Existence results for Brezis - Nirenberg problems with Hardy potential and singular coefficients, *Nonlinear Anal.* 67 (2007), 2091–2106.
- [17] G. Tarantello: On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 9 (1992), 281–304.
- [18] T. F. Wu: On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave - convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 318 (2006), 253–270.

MOHAMMED BOUCHEKIF

UNIVERSITÉ ABOUBEKR BELKAID, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, BP 119 (3000)
TLEMCEN - ALGÉRIE

E-mail address : m_boucekif@yahoo.fr

ATIKA MATALLAH

UNIVERSITÉ ABOUBEKR BELKAID, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, BP 119 (3000)
TLEMCEN - ALGÉRIE

E-mail address : atika_line-matallah@yahoo.fr