

Existence and Nonexistence Results of a Problem Involving the Pseudobilaplacian Operator

By

Mohammed BOUCHEKIF and Mohammed Elmokhtar Ould ELMOKHTAR

(University of Tlemcen, Algeria)

Abstract. In this paper we obtain some existence and nonexistence results of a problem involving the pseudobilaplacian operator. By the sub and supersolutions techniques we prove that the problem admits a positive solution when it is subhomogeneous. In the case where it is superhomogeneous, we prove the existence of nontrivial solutions. The nonexistence result follows from a Pohozaev-type identity.

Key Words and Phrases. Pseudobilaplacian operator, Sub-Supersolution method, Mountain-Pass lemma, Palais-Smale condition, Pohozaev-type Identity.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification Numbers. 35J40.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the existence and nonexistence of nontrivial solutions of the problem,

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_p^2 u - g(x, u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N , $\Delta_p^2 u := \Delta(|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u)$ is the p -bilaplacian operator, $N > 2p > 4$ and $g(x, u)$ is a given function that we will specify later.

Existence and nonexistence of solutions of problems involving the bilaplacian operator have been a subject of active research during the last decade. As example, we quote the works of Bernis et al. [1], Dràbek and Ôtani [3], Edmunds et al. [5], Van der Vorst [10] ...

Borrowing ideas from de Thelin [9] who consider the existence and nonexistence of solutions of a problem involving the p -laplacian operator, we extend the study to our problem (P). By the sub and supersolutions techniques we prove that (P) admits a positive solution when it is subhomogeneous. In the case where it is superhomogeneous, we prove the existence of nontrivial solutions. Finally we give a nonexistence result of nontrivial solutions.

Notation 1. $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, denote Lebesgue spaces, the norm L^p is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_p$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Set $E = W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ the Banach space, with the norm $\|u\| = \|Au\|_p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of the problem

$$(VP(\Omega)) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_p^2 u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and ϕ_1 is the eigenfunction associated to λ_1 with $\phi_1 > 0$ and $\|\phi_1\| = 1$.

Let $R > 0$ such that $\bar{\Omega} \subsetneq B(O, R)$. Set $\alpha = \min_{\bar{\Omega}} \phi_{1,R} > 0$ where $\phi_{1,R}$ is the first eigenfunction of $VP(B(O, R))$.

Our main results are given as follows:

Theorem 1. *Suppose that there exist γ_1 and $\gamma_2 : 1 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < p$ and $\mu_1 > 0$, $\mu_2 > 0$, $\mu \geq 0$ such that*

- i) *For all $x \in \Omega$, $u \geq 0 : \mu_1 u^{\gamma_1-1} \leq g(x, u)$,*
- ii) *For all $x \in \Omega$, $u \geq 0 : g(x, u) \leq \mu + \mu_2 u^{\gamma_2-1}$.*

Then (P) has at least a positive solution in E.

Theorem 2. *Suppose*

- g1) *$g(x, u) > 0$ for $u > 0$, $x \in \Omega$,*
- g2) *$g(x, u) = o(u^{p-1})$ if $u \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in x ,*
- g3) *there exist $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $a(x) > 0$ in Ω and $\gamma \in]p, p^*[$ with $p^* = Np/(N-2p)$ such that $g(x, u) = a(x)u^{\gamma-1}$, for u large enough.*

Then (P) has at least a nontrivial solution in E.

Theorem 3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ be a bounded, smooth and strictly starshaped domain, and $g(x, u) = |u|^{\gamma-2}u$, with $\gamma \geq p^* = Np/(N-2p)$. Then, problem (P) has no positive solution in E.*

The organization of the paper is as follows: In section 2, we recall some preliminaries. In section 3, we give an existence result of positive solutions by sub-super solutions method. In section 4, by variational methods we obtain an existence result of nontrivial solutions when (P) is superhomogeneous and subcritical. Finally in the last section, we give a nonexistence result of positive solutions when (P) is supercritical.

2. Preliminaries

We start by giving some definitions (see for example J. L. Lions [7])

Definition 1 ([2]). $(u_0, u^0) \in (W^{2,p}(\Omega))^2$ is called a sub-supersolutions of (P) if

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p^2 u^0 - g(x, u^0) \geq 0 \geq \Delta_p^2 u_0 - g(x, u_0) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u^0 \geq u_0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u^0 \geq 0 \geq u_0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \frac{\partial u^0}{\partial n} \leq 0 \leq \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial n} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2 ([7]). An operator $A : E \rightarrow E'$ (dual of E) is hemicontinuous if

$$\lambda \mapsto \langle A(u_1 + \lambda u_2), u_3 \rangle_{E', E}$$

is continuous from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{R} , for all $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in E$.

Definition 3 ([7]). An operator $A : E \rightarrow E'$ is said of calculus of variations if it is bounded and if it can be represented by $A(u) = \tilde{A}(u, u)$ where $\tilde{A} : (u, \hat{u}) \mapsto \tilde{A}(u, \hat{u})$ is an operator from $E \times E$ to E' satisfying the following properties:

d_1) For all $u \in E$, $\hat{u} \mapsto \tilde{A}(u, \hat{u})$ is hemicontinuous, bounded and satisfying $\langle \tilde{A}(u, u) - \tilde{A}(u, \hat{u}), u - \hat{u} \rangle \geq 0$.

d_2) For all $\hat{u} \in E$, $u \mapsto \tilde{A}(u, \hat{u})$ is hemicontinuous and bounded.

d_3) If $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ converges weakly to u in E and if $\langle \tilde{A}(u_n, u_n) - \tilde{A}(u_n, u), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then, for all \hat{u} in E , the sequence $\tilde{A}(u_n, \hat{u})$ converges weakly to $\tilde{A}(u, \hat{u})$ in E' .

d_4) If $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ converges weakly to u in E and if $\tilde{A}(u_n, \hat{u})$ converges weakly to φ in E' then $\langle \tilde{A}(u_n, \hat{u}), u_n \rangle_{E', E} \rightarrow \langle \varphi, u \rangle_{E', E}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 1 ([7]). Let E be a Banach space, and let A be a coercive operator of calculus of variations. Then, for all $f \in E'$, the equation $A(u) = f$, admits at least a solution $u \in E$.

3. Existence results by sub-supersolutions method

In this section we construct explicitly a sub and supersolutions of (P). Next we show that the truncated problem (\tilde{P}) associated to (P) admits at least a positive solution. Finally, we prove that any solution of (\tilde{P}) is also solution of (P).

3.1. Existence of sub and supersolutions

For the proof of theorem 1, we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Under the hypothesis ii) of theorem 1 and for all $M > 0$, (P) has a supersolution u^0 such that $u^0(x) \geq M$, for all $x \in \Omega$.*

Proof. Let $R > 0$ be a real number such that $\bar{\Omega} \subsetneq B(O, R)$ and set $u^0 = A\phi_{1,R}$, where A is a positive constant, then $\Delta_p^2 u^0 = A^{p-1}\lambda_{1,R}\phi_{1,R}^{p-1}$. From ii), we obtain

$$\Delta_p^2 u^0 - g(x, u^0) \geq A^{p-1}\lambda_{1,R}\phi_{1,R}^{p-1} - \mu_2 A^{\gamma_2-1}\phi_{1,R}^{\gamma_2-1} - \mu := K(A).$$

Since $\gamma_2 < p$, $\lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} K(A) = +\infty$. Thus there exists an $A_0 > 0$ such that $K(A) \geq 0$, for all $A \geq A_0$.

We have also $\partial u^0 / \partial n = A(\partial \phi_{1,R} / \partial n) < 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ since $\partial \phi_{1,R} / \partial n < 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $u^0 > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and if we take again $A\alpha \geq M$ then $u^0(x) \geq M$.

Thus, for $A \geq \max(M/\alpha, A_0)$, $u^0 = A\phi_{1,R}$ is supersolution of (P) satisfying $u^0(x) \geq M$, $\forall x \in \Omega$. \square

Lemma 2. *Under the hypothesis i) of theorem 1 and for all $M > 0$, (P) has a subsolution u_0 such that $0 \leq u_0(x) \leq M$, for all $x \in \Omega$.*

Proof. We purpose to construct a subsolution under the form $u_0 = \varepsilon\phi_1$, with $\varepsilon > 0$. We know that

$$\Delta_p^2 u_0 - g(x, u_0) \leq \varepsilon^{p-1}\lambda_1\phi_1^{p-1} - \mu_1\varepsilon^{\gamma_1-1}\phi_1^{\gamma_1-1} := G(\varepsilon)$$

As $\gamma_1 < p$, then there exists a positive constant ε_0 such that $G(\varepsilon) \leq 0$ for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, and if

$$\varepsilon\|\phi_1\|_\infty \leq M, \quad \text{i.e. : } \varepsilon \leq \frac{M}{\|\phi_1\|_\infty} = \varepsilon_1,$$

and as $\phi_1 = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $\partial \phi_1 / \partial n = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, then, for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2 = \min(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1)$, $u_0 = \varepsilon\phi_1$ is a subsolution of (P) verifying $u_0(x) \leq M$, $\forall x \in \Omega$. \square

3.2. The truncated problem

Taking as a starting point the work of Deuel-Hess [4], we define the truncated problem ($\tilde{\text{P}}$) associated to (P) by:

Find $u \in E$ such that

$$(\tilde{\text{P}}) \quad \Delta_p^2 u - \tilde{g}(x, u) = -\gamma(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{g}(x, u) = \begin{cases} g(x, u^0) & \text{if } u \geq u^0 \\ g(x, u) & \text{if } u_0 \leq u \leq u^0 \\ g(x, u_0) & \text{if } u \leq u_0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \gamma(x, u) = -(u_0 - u)_+^{p-1} + (u - u^0)_+^{p-1} \quad \text{with } w_+ = \max(w, 0).$$

We define an operator A on E and a semilinear application $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $E \times E$, associated to $(\tilde{\mathbf{P}})$, by

$$(3.3) \quad u \mapsto A(u) = \Delta_p^2 u - \tilde{g}(x, u) + \gamma(x, u) \quad \text{from } E \text{ into } E'$$

and

$$a(\cdot, \cdot) : (u, \hat{u}) \mapsto a(u, \hat{u}) = \int_{\Omega} A(u)\hat{u} \, dx \quad \text{from } E \times E \text{ into } \mathbf{R}$$

$$\text{i.e. } a(u, \hat{u}) = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \hat{u} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u)\hat{u} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x, u)\hat{u} \, dx.$$

Let us give some auxiliary results.

Lemma 3. *The operator A is bounded.*

Proof. Using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \hat{u} \, dx \right| \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p \, dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta \hat{u}|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Thus, for all $\hat{u} \in E \setminus \{0\}$

$$(3.5) \quad \|\hat{u}\|^{-1} \left| \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \hat{u} \, dx \right| \leq \|u\|^{p-1}.$$

The applications A_1 and A_2 defined on E by

$$\langle A_1(u); \hat{u} \rangle_{E', E} = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u)\hat{u} \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad \langle A_2(u); \hat{u} \rangle_{E', E} = \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x, u)\hat{u} \, dx$$

are bounded in E' . Indeed, for all $\hat{u} \in E$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u)\hat{u} \, dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{g}(x, u)| |\hat{u}| \, dx,$$

the application $x \mapsto \tilde{g}(x, u(x))$ is bounded in \mathbf{R} (by construction).

Therefore there exists $C > 0$ such that: $|\langle A_1(u); \hat{u} \rangle_{E', E}| \leq C \|\hat{u}\|$.

Thus for $\hat{u} \in E \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \|\hat{u}\|^{-1} |\langle A_1(u); \hat{u} \rangle_{E', E}| \leq C.$$

Similarly,

$$|\langle A_2(u); \hat{u} \rangle_{E', E}| \leq \int_{\Omega} |\gamma(x, u)| |\hat{u}| \, dx.$$

The relation (3.2) implies that for any x in Ω ,

$$|\gamma(x, u)| \leq 2^{p-2} \max(|u^0|, |u|)^{p-1} + 2^{p-2} \max(|u_0|, |u|)^{p-1}.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} |\gamma(x, u)| |\hat{u}| dx \leq C \|u\|^{p-1} \|\hat{u}\|,$$

where C is a positive constant.

Consequently, for all \hat{u} in $E \setminus \{0\}$,

$$(3.7) \quad \|\hat{u}\|^{-1} |\langle A_2(u); \hat{u} \rangle_{E', E}| \leq C \|u\|^{p-1}.$$

It result from (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) that the operator A is bounded. □

The operator A is of calculus of variations and coercive.

We define

$$\langle \tilde{A}(u_1, u_2), \hat{u} \rangle_{E', E} := \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_2|^{p-2} \Delta u_2 \Delta \hat{u} dx - \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u_1) \hat{u} dx + \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x, u_1) \hat{u} dx,$$

for all $u_1, u_2, \hat{u} \in E$.

Lemma 4. *The operator \tilde{A} satisfies the properties $d_1), \dots, d_4)$ of definition 3.*

Proof. Consider a sequence of real numbers $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_n = \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, we claim that:

$$\langle \tilde{A}(u_1, u_2 + \lambda_n \tilde{u}_2), \hat{u} \rangle_{E', E} \rightarrow \langle \tilde{A}(u_1, u_2 + \lambda \tilde{u}_2), \hat{u} \rangle_{E', E} \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In fact, if we put $F_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ with $p > 2$ one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_p[\Delta(u_2(x) + \lambda_n \tilde{u}_2(x))] \Delta \hat{u}(x) = F_p[\Delta(u_2(x) + \lambda \tilde{u}_2(x))] \Delta \hat{u}(x) \quad \text{p.p } x \in \Omega,$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad |F_p[\Delta(u_2(x) + \lambda_n \tilde{u}_2(x))] \Delta \hat{u}(x)| \leq (|\Delta u_2(x)| + M_\lambda |\Delta \tilde{u}_2(x)|)^{p-1} |\Delta \hat{u}(x)|.$$

where M_λ is a positive constant resulting from the convergence of $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$.

Thus from the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} F_p[\Delta(u_2 + \lambda_n \tilde{u}_2)] \Delta \hat{u} dx = \int_{\Omega} F_p[\Delta(u_2 + \lambda \tilde{u}_2)] \Delta \hat{u} dx.$$

Using again the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u_1 + \lambda_n \tilde{u}_1) \hat{u}(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u_1 + \lambda \tilde{u}_1) \hat{u}(x) dx$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x, u_1 + \lambda_n \tilde{u}_1) \hat{u}(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x, u_1 + \lambda \tilde{u}_1) \hat{u}(x) dx.$$

We know that, for all $u, \hat{u} \in E$

$$\langle \Delta_p^2 u - \Delta_p^2 \hat{u}; u - \hat{u} \rangle_{E', E} \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$\langle \tilde{A}(u, u) - \tilde{A}(u, \hat{u}), u - \hat{u} \rangle_{E', E} \geq 0.$$

By the same argument we have d_2).

For the condition d_3), let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a weakly converging sequence to $u \in E$ and suppose that

$$\langle \tilde{A}(u_n, u_n) - \tilde{A}(u_n, u), u_n - u \rangle_{E', E} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then for all $\hat{u} \in E$, $(\tilde{A}(u_n, \hat{u}))_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ converges weakly to $\tilde{A}(u, \hat{u})$ in E' .

According to the definition of \tilde{A} it suffices to prove

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{g}(x, u_n) \rightarrow \tilde{g}(x, u) \text{ in } L^{p'}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(x, u_n) \rightarrow \gamma(x, u) \text{ in } L^{p'}(\Omega),$$

where p' is the conjugate of p .

We know that the embedding of E in $L^p(\Omega)$ is compact, then we can extract a subsequence still denoted $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ such that

$$u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text{p.p in } \Omega \text{ when } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

From the construction of \tilde{g} , we deduce

$$(3.10) \quad \tilde{g}(x, u_n(x)) \rightarrow \tilde{g}(x, u(x)) \quad \text{p.p in } \Omega, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad |\tilde{g}(x, u_n(x))| \leq h(x) \quad \text{p.p in } \Omega, \forall n \in \mathbf{N},$$

where h is an application from Ω to \mathbf{R} depending on u^0 , μ , μ_2 and γ_2 .

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u_n) \hat{u} dx = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u) \hat{u} dx.$$

Similarly for γ .

To show d_4).

Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a weakly converging sequence to $u \in E$ and suppose that

$$(3.12) \quad (\tilde{A}(u_n, \tilde{u}))_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \text{ converges weakly to } \varphi \text{ in } E', \quad \text{where } \tilde{u} \in E.$$

It suffices to prove

$$a) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} F_p(\Delta \tilde{u}) \Delta u_n \, dx = \int_{\Omega} F_p(\Delta \tilde{u}) \Delta u \, dx,$$

$$b) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u_n) u_n \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u) u \, dx,$$

and

$$c) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x, u_n) u_n \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x, u) u \, dx.$$

In fact, we can write

$$\int_{\Omega} F_p(\Delta \tilde{u}) \Delta u_n \, dx = \langle \Delta_p^2 \tilde{u}, u_n \rangle_{E', E}.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} F_p(\Delta \tilde{u}) \Delta u_n \, dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \Delta_p^2 \tilde{u}, u_n \rangle_{E', E} = \langle \Delta_p^2 \tilde{u}, u \rangle.$$

Using the Sobolev embedding and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u_n) (u_n - u) \, dx \right| \leq \|\tilde{g}(x, u_n)\|_{p'} \|u_n - u\|_p.$$

Similarly for $\gamma(\cdot, u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x, u_n) (u_n - u) \, dx \right| &\leq 2^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} (|u^0(x)|^{p-1} + |u_n|^{p-1}) |u_n - u| \, dx \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} (\|u^0\|_p^{p-1} + C) \|u_n - u\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant. From the compactness of the embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$, we get $b)$ and $c)$.

According to $a)$, $b)$ and $c)$ we can write

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \tilde{A}(u_n, \tilde{u}); u_n \rangle_{E', E} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \tilde{A}(u_n, \tilde{u}); u \rangle_{E', E}, \quad \forall \tilde{u} \in E.$$

(3.12) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \tilde{A}(u_n, \tilde{u}); u \rangle_{E', E} = \langle \varphi, u \rangle_{E', E}.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \tilde{A}(u_n, \tilde{u}); u_n \rangle_{E', E} = \langle \varphi, u \rangle_{E', E}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5. *The application A is coercive.*

Proof. Show that

$$\frac{a(u, u)}{\|u\|} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{when } \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

From the construction of the functions \tilde{g} and γ , we can write

$$-\int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u)u \, dx \geq -M_1\|u\|,$$

where M_1 is a positive constant depending on $\|u_0\|$, μ and μ_2 , and

$$\int_{\Omega} \gamma(x, u)u \, dx \geq D_1\|u\|_p^p - D_2\|u\|_p^{p-1},$$

where D_1 and D_2 are positive constants. Putting $\hat{u} = u$ in the definition of the form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, we obtain

$$a(u, u) \geq Q_1\|u\|^p + D_1\|u\|_p^p - D_2\|u\|_p^{p-1} - M_1\|u\|.$$

Using the Sobolev embedding we have

$$a(u, u) \geq Q_1\|u\|^p - \tilde{D}\|u\|^{p-1} - M_1\|u\|,$$

where Q_1 and \tilde{D} are positive constants. Thus

$$\frac{a(u, u)}{\|u\|} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{when } \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty \quad (\text{since } p > 2). \quad \square$$

Lemma 6. (P) *admits at least one solution in E .*

Proof. From lemmas 3, 4 and 5 we deduce that

$$(3.14) \quad \text{For all } g_1 \in E', \exists u^* \in E \text{ such that } A(u^*) = g_1.$$

In particular for $g_1 = 0$, there exists u^* such that

$$\Delta_p^2 u^* - \tilde{g}(x, u^*) = -\gamma(x, u^*). \quad \square$$

3.3. Existence results for positive solutions of (P)

Let us show that:

$$u_0 \leq u^* \leq u^0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

First we prove that

$$u^* \leq u^0.$$

Put $\hat{u} := (u^* - u^0)_+$.

Multiplying the equation of $(\tilde{\mathbf{P}})$ by \hat{u} and integrating on Ω , we have

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{\Omega} F_p(\Delta u^*) \Delta \hat{u} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(x, u^*) \hat{u} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x, u^*) \hat{u} \, dx = 0.$$

According to the definition of u^0 , we get

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{\Omega} (F_p(\Delta u^0) \Delta \hat{u} - g(x, u^0) \hat{u}) \, dx \geq 0.$$

Subtracting (3.16) from (3.15), we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{\Omega} (F_p(\Delta u^*) - F_p(\Delta u^0)) \Delta (u^* - u^0)_+ \, dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{g}(x, u^*) - g(x, u^0)) (u^* - u^0)_+ \, dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} [-(u_0 - u^*)_+^{p-1} + (u^* - u^0)_+^{p-1}] (u^* - u^0)_+ \, dx \leq 0.$$

Now from the monotonicity of the functional $u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p \, dx / p$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (F_p(\Delta u^*) - F_p(\Delta u^0)) \Delta (u^* - u^0)_+ \, dx \geq 0,$$

and by construction of \tilde{g} , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (\tilde{g}(x, u^*) - \tilde{g}(x, u^0)) (u^* - u^0)_+ \, dx = 0,$$

then (3.17) becomes

$$\int_{\Omega} (u^* - u^0)_+^p \, dx \leq 0.$$

Thus $u^* \leq u^0$ in Ω .

Similarly, we prove $u_0 \leq u^*$ in Ω .

4. Existence results by the variational method

The energy functional J associated to (\mathbf{P}) is defined on E by

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) \, dx, \quad \text{where } G(x, u) = \int_0^u g(x, s) \, ds.$$

The nontrivial critical points of J are the solutions of (\mathbf{P}) .

To prove the theorem 2, we use the three following lemmas.

Set $J(u) := A(u) - B(u)$ with $A(u) := \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx/p$ and $B(u) := \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx$, where $G(x, u) = \int_0^u g(x, s) ds$.

Lemma 7. J is $C^1(E, \mathbf{R})$.

Proof. It is enough to show that A and B are of class C^1 on E .

According to an algebraic relation of Simon [8] and the Hölder inequality one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|A'(u_1) - A'(u_2)\|_{E'} &\leq \|F_p(\Delta u_1) - F_p(\Delta u_2)\|_{p'} \\ &\leq C \|\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2\|_p (\|\Delta u_1\|_p + \|\Delta u_2\|_p)^{p-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore A is of class C^1 on E . Since g is subcritical, then B is of class C^1 . \square

Lemma 8. J satisfies the Palais-Smale conditions.

Proof. Let (u_n) be a Palais-Smale sequence in E (i.e. $J(u_n)$ is bounded and $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$), then (u_n) is bounded in E . In fact,

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u_n|^p - |u_n|^\gamma) dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p} |\Delta u_n|^p - \frac{1}{\gamma} |u_n|^\gamma \right) dx \text{ is bounded,}$$

combining (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain that

$$\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^p dx \text{ is bounded,}$$

then (u_n) is bounded when $\gamma \neq p$.

The embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^\gamma(\Omega)$ is compact for $\gamma \in]p, p^*[$, then there exists a subsequence, still denoted by (u_n) , which converges strongly in $L^\gamma(\Omega)$.

Set

$$I_{n,m} = \int_{\Omega} [F_p(\Delta u_n) - F_p(\Delta u_m)] (\Delta u_n - \Delta u_m) dx, \quad \text{with } m, n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then we can write $I_{n,m}$ in the form

$$I_{n,m} = \langle J'(u_n) - J'(u_m), u_n - u_m \rangle + \int_{\Omega} [g(x, u_n) - g(x, u_m)] (u_n - u_m) dx.$$

From the algebraic relation [8], we get

$$|\Delta u_n - \Delta u_m|^p \leq C[F_p(\Delta u_n) - F_p(\Delta u_m)](\Delta u_n - \Delta u_m),$$

thus

$$\|u_n - u_m\|^p \leq CI_{n,m},$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - u_m\| = 0.$$

Then, (u_n) converges strongly in E . □

Lemma 9. *J satisfies the geometric conditions.*

Proof. Since $J(0) = 0$ and $J(\underline{u}) > 0$ for an element $\underline{u} \geq 0$; $\underline{u} \neq 0$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} J(t\underline{u}) = -\infty.$$

Indeed, let t be positive sufficiently large number

$$J(t\underline{u}) = At^p - Bt^\gamma;$$

where

$$A := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \underline{u}|^p dx, \quad \text{and} \quad B := \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\underline{u}|^\gamma dx$$

and since $\gamma > p$, we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} J(t\underline{u}) = -\infty.$$

Thus there exists $v_0 = t_0 \underline{u}$ such that $J(v_0) = 0$. From hypothese $g_2)$ and $g_3)$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} G(u) dx \leq \varepsilon \|u\|^p + C_\varepsilon \|u\|^\gamma, \quad \text{with } C_\varepsilon \text{ is a positive constant where } \varepsilon > 0,$$

then

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - (\varepsilon \|u\|^p + C_\varepsilon \|u\|^\gamma).$$

For $\|u\|$ enough small, one obtains $J(u) \geq \beta > 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 2. From the lemmas 7, 8 and 9, we deduce that there exists $u \in E \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} F_p(\Delta u) \cdot \Delta v dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) v dx = 0 \quad \forall v \in E.$$

5. Nonexistence results of positive solutions of (P)

By a Pohozaev type identity we show the nonexistence of positive solution of (P) in the case $g(u) = u^{\gamma-1}$, $\gamma \geq p^* = Np/(N-2p)$ and Ω is a strictly starshaped domain.

First we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 10. *Let $u \in E$ be a positive solution of (P).*

Then the following identity holds

$$\left(\frac{N-2p}{p}\right) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx - N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx = - \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) \int_{\partial\Omega} |\Delta u|^p (x \cdot \vec{n}) d\sigma,$$

where \vec{n} is the exterior normal to $\partial\Omega$ and $G(u) = \int_0^u g(s) ds$.

Proof. Multiplying the equation of (P) by the inner product $x \cdot \nabla u$ and integrating on Ω , we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(u)(x \cdot \nabla u) dx = \int_{\Omega} \Delta w(x \cdot \nabla u) dx \quad \text{where } w = F_p(u).$$

Set

$$A_1(u) := \int_{\Omega} g(u)(x \cdot \nabla u) dx \quad \text{and} \quad A_2(u) := \int_{\Omega} \Delta w(x \cdot \nabla u) dx.$$

Calculation of $A_1(u)$

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} g(u)(x \cdot \nabla u) dx &= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} g(u) \left(x_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (G(u)) x_j dx. \end{aligned}$$

According to the divergence theorem, we write

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (G(u)) x_j dx &= - \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx + \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\partial\Omega} G(u) x_j \vec{n}_j d\sigma \\ &= -N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} G(u)(x \cdot \vec{n}) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad \text{implies} \quad G(u) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

and taking account of (5.1) and (5.2), we deduce that

$$(5.3) \quad A_1(u) = -N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx = \frac{-N}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma} dx.$$

Calculation of $A_2(u)$

In [6] Mitidieri established the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\Delta v(x \cdot \nabla u) + \Delta u(x \cdot \nabla v)) dx &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{n}}(x \cdot \nabla u) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(x \cdot \nabla v) - (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v)(x \cdot \vec{n}) \right) d\sigma \\ &\quad + (N-2) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let us apply to our case with $v = w = F_p(u)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \vec{n}}(x \cdot \nabla u) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(x \cdot \nabla w) \right) d\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla w)(x \cdot \vec{n}) d\sigma - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x \cdot \nabla w) \\ &\quad + (N-2) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \vec{n}}(x \cdot \nabla u) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(x \cdot \nabla w) \right) d\sigma, & H_2 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla w)(x \cdot \vec{n}) d\sigma, \\ H_3 &= \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x \cdot \nabla w) dx & \text{and} & & H_4 &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $u = \nabla u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,
we get

$$(5.4) \quad H_1 = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \vec{n}}(x \cdot \nabla u) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(x \cdot \nabla w) \right) d\sigma = 0$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad H_2 = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla w)(x \cdot \vec{n}) d\sigma = 0.$$

Calculation of H_3

We have

$$H_3 = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x \cdot \nabla w) dx = \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right) \int_{\Omega} x \cdot [\nabla |\Delta u|^p] dx,$$

and applying the divergence theorem, we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad H_3 = \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right) \int_{\partial\Omega} |\Delta u|^p(x \cdot \vec{n}) d\sigma - \frac{N(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx.$$

Calculation of H_4

Applying the generalized Green's formula, we obtain

$$H_4 = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla w) dx = - \int_{\Omega} w \Delta u dx,$$

therefore

$$(5.7) \quad H_4 = - \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx.$$

Now from the relations (5.4), (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7) we obtain

$$A_2(u) = - \frac{(p-1)}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\Delta u|^p(x, \vec{n}) d\sigma + \frac{N(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx - (N-2) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx.$$

Thus

$$(5.8) \quad A_2(u) = - \left(\frac{N-2p}{p} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx - \frac{(p-1)}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\Delta u|^p(x, \vec{n}) d\sigma,$$

and from the relations (5.3) and (5.8) we deduce that

$$(5.9) \quad \left(\frac{N-2p}{p} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx - N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx = - \frac{(p-1)}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\Delta u|^p(x, \vec{n}) d\sigma.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. We proceed by contradiction.

The lemma 10 gives

$$\left(\frac{N-2p}{p} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx - N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx = - \frac{(p-1)}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\Delta u|^p(x, \vec{n}) d\sigma.$$

Owing to the fact that

$$G(u) = \frac{|u|^\gamma}{\gamma}$$

we obtain

$$\left(\frac{N-2p}{p} - \frac{N}{\gamma} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^\gamma dx = - \frac{(p-1)}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\Delta u|^p(x, \vec{n}) d\sigma,$$

and since the domain Ω is strictly starshaped then,

$$\frac{N-2p}{p} - \frac{N}{\gamma} < 0,$$

what contradicts the fact that $\gamma \geq p^* = Np/(N-2p)$. □

References

- [1] Bernis, F., Garcia-Azorero, J. and Peral, I., Existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions in semilinear critical problems of fourth order, *Adv. Differential Equations*, **1** (1996), 219–240.
- [2] Cabada, A., The method of lower and upper solutions for second, third, fourth and higher order boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **185** (1994), 302–320.
- [3] Drábek, P. and Ôtani, M., Global bifurcation result for the p -bilaplacien, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **2001** (2001), N^o 48, 1–19.
- [4] Deuel, J. and Hess, P., A criterion for the existence of solutions of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **74** (1975), 49–54.
- [5] Edmunds, D. E., Fortunato, D. and Jannelli, E., Critical Exponents, Critical Dimensions and the Biharmonic Operator, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **112** (1990), 269–289.
- [6] Mitidieri, Enzo, A Rellich Type Identity and Applications, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **18** (1993), 125–151.
- [7] Lions, J. L., *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Gauthier-Villars Dunod, Paris, 1969.
- [8] Simon, J., *Sur des équations aux dérivées partielles nonlinéaires*, Thèse, Paris, 1977.
- [9] de Thelin, F., Résultats d'existence et de non existence pour la solution positive bornée d'une E.D.P elliptique nonlinéaire, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.*, **VIII** (1986–1987), 375–389.
- [10] Van. der. Vorst, R. C. A. M., Best Constant for the embedding of the space $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $L^{2N/(N-4)}(\Omega)$, *Differential Integral Equations*, **6** (1993), 259–276.

nuna adreso:

Mohammed Boucekif
 Departement of Mathematics
 University of Tlemcen
 P. B. 119, Tlemcen
 Algeria
 E-mail: m_boucekif@mail.univ-tlemcen.dz

Mohammed Elmokhtar Ould Elmokhtar
 Departement of Mathematics
 University of Tlemcen
 P. B. 119, Tlemcen
 Algeria
 E-mail: med.mokhtar.mokhtar@caramail.com

(Ricevita la 7-an de majo, 2006)

(Reviziita la 29-an de julio, 2006)