# **Atmospheric Plasma-Catalytic Treatment of Methyl Orange**

B. Benstaali<sup>1</sup>, S. Haji<sup>1</sup>, N. Al-Bastaki<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Brisset<sup>2</sup>, A. Addou<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chemical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, University of Bahrain, Bahrain. <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire d'Electrochimie (L.E.I.C.A.); UFR Sciences, Université de Rouen; France. <sup>3</sup>Laboratoire des Sciences et Techniques et Valorisation, Université de Mostaganem, Algeria,

**Abstract:** Atmospheric gliding arc discharges in humid air are used for the treatment of Methyl Orange (MO) solutions for depollution purposes. Highly reactive neutral hydroxyl radicals OH<sup>•</sup> and NO<sup>•</sup> are produced in the plasma. Their interaction with the solution is investigated using UV/Visible spectroscopy. The plasma treatment shows the creation of intermediate species systematically followed by their degradation leading to one end product. The presence of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and titanium oxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) as catalysts differently influences the plasma treatment of the MO solution. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> influences further the direct chemical reactions due its straightforward decomposition under UV radiations while TiO<sub>2</sub> has to be activated before releasing species that contribute to the highly oxidant HO<sup>•</sup> radicals production.

**Keywords:** Gliding discharge; humid air, non thermal plasma; atmospheric pressure; Plasma-chemical degradation, Methyl Orange; Hydrogen peroxide.

# 1. Introduction

Advanced oxidation process (AOP) reactors are commonly used nowadays for their enhanced performance and better selectivity using catalysts (ozone (O3), hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , titanium oxide  $(TiO_2)$ , etc) compared to normal reactors whether treating liquid or gaseous products. A hybrid non-thermal plasma reactor packed with nanometer titanium dioxide catalyst shows a better selectivity of carbon dioxide when used for benzene removal in the air to give a benzene removal efficiency of nearly 99% for low benzene concentration (600 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) and 90% for high benzene concentration (1500 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) [1]. Dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor was used to investigate the efficiency of the treatment of Orange II dye at different conditions using:  $O_3$ ,  $O_3$ + UV,  $O_3$  + UV +TiO<sub>2</sub> [2]. The rate of degradation of the azo dye increases with the previous conditions order. Comparative analysis of degradation of Methyl Orange (MO) or Orange III using non thermal plasma of gliding arc discharge (GAD) in humid air and photocatalytic UV reactor was carried out using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> catalyst. The presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> catalyst accelerates the degradation of MO leading to the same final end product obtained without catalyst in GAD while UV reactor degrades totally MO [3].

The purpose of this paper is to present the results of the interaction of neutral radicals' species produced by non thermal GAD of humid air and their chemical reaction effects on MO in presence of  $H_2O_2$  and  $TiO_2$  catalysts. Predominant HO<sup>•</sup> and relatively less abundant <sup>•</sup>NO radicals have been identified in previous spectroscopic investigations [4,5]. The plasma interaction with the MO solution and the reaction kinetics are followed by UV/Vis molecular absorbance spectroscopy. Atmospheric plasma produced HO<sup>•</sup> and <sup>•</sup>NO radicals were identified through their UV emission spectra starting at 306 nm for HO<sup>•</sup> and

237 nm for 'NO radicals. The presence of UV photosensitive catalysts  $H_2O_2$  and  $TiO_2$  differently enhance the degradation of MO at different degradation rates leading to the same end products.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

### 2. 1 The plasma source

The non thermal plasma source was initially developed by Czernichowski et al. [6] and detailed for its use in liquid solutions treatment in previous paper [7]. Pressurised bubbling air crosses a distilled water flask and gets water vapour saturated before entering the GAD reactor through a nozzle. It spreads in the diverging limited space between two electrically 220V/10kV high voltage supplied semi elliptic electrodes that an alternative potential difference of 10kV and a current intensity of 100-150mA. The electric arc generated ignites at the electrode minimum gap (2.5 mm wide) and is being pushed to the top lengthening. The arc voltage increases until it reaches the breakdown voltage of the starting gap. A new arc reappears at the top of the reactor, short-circuiting the first one that extinguishes at the arc length threshold. The gas flow rate  $Q_{y}$ , which can be adjusted from 0 to 25L.mn<sup>-1</sup>, is measured by a Show Rate Brooks flow meter.

#### 2. 2 Solution preparation and treatment conditions

Methyl Orange (MO) with molecular structure  $C_{14}H_{14}N_3NaO_3S$  and molecular weight 327.34 g/mol was supplied by Aldrich prepared. The initial solution prepared solution of  $10^{-2}$  Mol/L is red and turns to orange when diluted to a concentration of 7.8125 x  $10^{-5}$  Mol/L. MO solutions containing  $H_2O_2$  with concentration 1.14 x  $10^{-2}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> were also prepared. Another mixture of equal concentration of 7.8125 x  $10^{5}$  Mol/L of MO and TiO<sub>2</sub> of

molecular weight 79.90 g/mol (supplied by Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared. The magnetically stirred 80 mL sample solution was exposed at a distance of d = 7.5 cm from the ignition point of the GAD and treated by non thermal plasma of humid air at a flow rate Q = 10 L/min. Each treatment period of T = 30s was monitored by measurements of absorbance using a double beam UV-Vis Schimadzu spectrometer 1601 model with 900 lines/mm holographic grating.

# 3. Results and discussions

### 3. a. MO plasma treatment

Initial MO solution of concentration of  $7.8 \times 10^{-5}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> (pH=6) shows a broad absorption peak at the wavelength 464.5 nm with an absorbance value 1.83 (molar absorption coefficient  $\varepsilon_{464.5nm} = 2.34 \text{ x } 10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and a shoulder at a lower wavelength 415 nm. The molecular coefficient at the shoulder is calculated  $\varepsilon_{415nm} = 1.64$  $x 10^4 L mol^{-1} cm^{-1}$ . The visible spectrum of MO solution is shown in Figures 1 and 2. Exposed during fixed treatment periods of 0.5 min to the humid air plasma, MO solution shows a shift of the absorption band initially centred at  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 464.5$  nm to higher wavelength and higher absorbance of the absorption peak with treatment time. The absorbance at the shoulder 415 nm on the other hand decreases with time. Longer exposure to humid air plasma shows variation of the absorption band with the treatment time which suggests that the treated MO solution is undergoing a set of complex reactions involving acid transform and oxidation processes as a result of chemical reactions with non thermal plasma produced neutral reactive species HO<sup>•</sup> and <sup>•</sup>NO. The presence of less dominant neutral radicals 'NO creates acidic medium encouraging chemical reactions to take place. The decrease of the absorbance of MO at 464.5 nm accompanied by a shift in the absorption band at higher wavelength 495 nm and color changes may suggest that some molecules at the interface of the plasma liquid solution are degraded into small fragments that recombine with oxided non-degraded molecules to form another type of dyes. It is therefore clear that the structure of MO has been changed and the maximum peak shifts from 464.5 nm to 495 nm after plasma treatment of MO solution, while the absorbance values at these peaks are more or less equal, indicates that the MO in the presence of plasma produced reactive species is undergoing direct chemical oxidation (DCO) by oxidizing hydroxyl radicals HO<sup>•</sup>.

# 3. b. MO plasma treatment with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> catalyst

MO+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ( $c_{MO}$ =7.8 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>,  $c_{H2O2}$ =1.14 x 10<sup>-2</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>) were also exposed to plasma species. The visible spectrum of MO+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution is shown in Figure 1. The absorbance of MO+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution at 464.5 nm is slightly

higher (2.17) compared to (1.83) of MO alone. It seems that the presence of  $H_2O_2$  accelerates the rate of direct chemical reactions occurring in the plasma treated MO solution. It reduces the treatment time to reach the maximum absorption peak at 495 nm. This effect is largely observed for the maximum absorption peak at 415 nm for MO+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and MO where four minutes of plasma treatment for MO+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution to reach the appearance of supposed final product. Over four minutes of exposure to the humid air plasma, the absorbance spectra show a decrease of the absorbance at 415 nm followed with a shift of the absorption peak at 390 nm in the UV band. Therefore adding H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to the MO solution reduces the treatment time to nearly 50% of that of MO alone.



Fig.1: Variation of the maximum absorption peak at 465 nm vs. treatment time during non thermal plasma treatment of MO and MO+ $H_2O_2$ .

#### 3. c. MO Plasma Treatment with TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst

MO+TiO<sub>2</sub> solutions are also exposed to humid air plasma. The pH of the solution was initially at 5.95. Visible spectra of MO and MO+TiO<sub>2</sub> solutions are shown in Figure 2. The absorbance of the two solutions undergo a similar behavior with a little difference in absorbance amplitude, the MO+TiO<sub>2</sub> amplitude being higher than that of MO. The absorbance intensity is somewhat higher (1.92) than that (1.83) obtained without the catalyst. Also the maximum absorption peak does not change; the absorbance of MO+TiO<sub>2</sub> solution raises the absorbance to 4.5% more than that of MO alone. The increase of the absorbance is observed during the whole plasma treatment. The presence of the catalyst TiO<sub>2</sub> enhances the presence of more hydroxyl radicals in the plasma. The medium becomes more populated with hydroxyl radicals and the oxidation of MO is more effective. Therefore an increase in direct chemical oxidation is taking place and the absorbance of MO in presence of TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst could be related to an MO oxidation effect induced by TiO<sub>2</sub>.



Fig.2: Variation of the maximum absorption peak at 465 nm vs. treatment time during non thermal plasma treatment of MO and MO+TiO<sub>2</sub>.

When exposing TiO<sub>2</sub> suspensions in aqueous solutions to UV radiations, they increase HO<sup>•</sup> radicals which should oxidize dissolved organic materials responsible for coloring solutions. Since the atmospheric plasma produced HO<sup>•</sup> and <sup>•</sup>NO radicals are identified through their UV emission spectra [4], the presence of UV photosensitive catalyst such as TiO<sub>2</sub> may enhance the plasma treatment. UV radiations interact with TiO<sub>2</sub> to produce additional HO<sup>•</sup> radicals in the solution. The final product obtained is stable within several days after treatment. The addition of few drops of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to the final product and its exposure to UV radiations make it loose its yellow color within five minutes of treatment.

#### 4. Discussions

The slight increase of the solution absorbance before the the treatment has been observed for all solutions MO,  $MO+TiO_2$  and  $MO+H_2O_2$ . The absorbance of  $MO + H_2O_2$ solution at 464.5 nm is slightly higher (2.17) compared to  $MO+TiO_2$  (1.92) and MO (1.83) alone. Similar behavior of spectra is observed for MO,  $MO+H_2O_2$  and  $MO+TiO_2$ . Adding catalysts to MO solutions has increased the initial absorbance of the solution and also reduces the treatment time to reach the maximum absorption peak at 495 nm. One and half minutes are needed for  $MO+H_2O_2$  to reach the first maximum at 495 nm while it takes 2 minutes for  $MO+TiO_2$  and more for MO as shown in Table 1.

The influence of  $H_2O_2$  on MO plasma treatment is largely observed for the maximum absorption peak at 415 nm appearance at four minutes of plasma treatment while nine and half minutes treatment time were not enough for MO+TiO<sub>2</sub> and more for MO. Over four minutes of exposure to the humid air plasma, the absorbance spectra show a decrease of the absorbance at 415 nm followed with a shift of the absorption peak at 390 nm in the UV band. Therefore adding  $H_2O_2$  to the MO solution reduces the treatment time to nearly 50% of that of MO alone.

Table 1. Absorbance values at absorption peak 464.5 nm and minimum time to reach absorption peak at 495 nm.

	A (at 464.5 nm)	t (at 495 nm)
МО	1.8	> 2 min
$MO + TiO_2$	1.9	2 min
MO+H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	2.2	1.5 min

The reason for such a rapid acceleration effect is due to the production of HO<sup>•</sup> radicals produced through the interaction of UV radiations emitted by NO<sup>•</sup> (237 nm) and HO<sup>•</sup> (306 nm) radicals with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. These two values correspond to photonic energies 5.23 eV (NO<sup>•</sup>) and 4.05 eV (HO<sup>•</sup>) that are higher than the threshold value 3.26 eV that triggers the degradation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> into HO<sup>•</sup> radicals by UV radiations as expressed by equations (1), (2) and (3). The chemical reaction mechanisms which are likely:

$$H_2O_2 + hv \xrightarrow{\lambda < 380 hm} 2HO^{\bullet}$$
(1)

$$HO^{\bullet} + H_2O_2 \to H_2O + HO_2^{\bullet} \tag{2}$$

$$HO_2^{\bullet} + H_2O_2 \to H_2O + O_2 + HO^{\bullet} \tag{3}$$

The production phenomenon of HO<sup>•</sup> radicals is a primary product of the interaction of  $H_2O_2$  with UV radiations.  $H_2O_2$  molecules are straightforward decomposed to HO<sup>•</sup> radicals. This is why it is quicker than when TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst is used.

With titanium oxide, HO<sup>•</sup>radicals are secondary products obtained through the activation of  $TiO_2$  molecules by UV radiations followed by the release of electrons through (4) and (5).

$$TiO_2 + hv \to e^- + h^+ \tag{4}$$

$$H_2 O + h^+ \to HO^{\bullet} + H^+ \tag{5}$$

Therefore  $TiO_2$  catalyst is a slower process than direct  $H_2O_2$  since it involve other reaction mechanisms to produce  $HO^{\bullet}$  radicals.

#### 5. Conclusion

A comparative approach to the use of TiO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> catalysts has been investigated during the treatment of MO by non thermal humid air plasma of gliding arc discharge. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> reduces the treatment time to nearly 50% of that of MO alone. The degradation rate of MO is enhanced by the increasing generation of HO<sup>•</sup> radicals created through the interaction of UV radiations emitted by non thermal plasma produced NO<sup>•</sup> and HO<sup>•</sup> radicals with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> influences further the direct chemical reactions due its straightforward decomposition under UV radiations while TiO<sub>2</sub> has to be activated to release species before contributing to the highly oxidant HO<sup>•</sup> radicals.

## References

- T. Zhu, J. Li, Y. Jin, Y. Liang, G. Ma, Decomposition of benzene by non-thermal plasma processing: Photocatalyst and ozone effect, Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech., 5 (3), 375-384, (2008)
- [2] Y. S. Mok, J. O. Jo, J. C. Whitehead, Degradation of an azo dye Orange II using a gas phase dielectric barrier discharge reactor submerged in water, Chemical Engineering Journal, 142, 56-64, (2008)
- [3] B. Benstaali, N. AlBastaki, A. Addou, J. L. Brisset, Plasma-Chemical and Photo-Catalytic Degradation of Methyl Orange, Int. J. of Environment and Waste Management,, accepted for publication 07/02/2009.
- [4] B. Benstaali, P. Boubert, B. G. Cheron, A. Addou, J.L. Brisset, Density and rotational temperature measurements of OH<sup>•</sup> and NO<sup>•</sup> radicals produced by a gliding arc in humid air, *Plasma Chem. Plasma Proc.*, 22, 4, 553-571. (2002)
- [5] B. Benstaali, P. Boubert, B. G. Cheron, A. Addou, J.L. Brisset, Spectral investigation of humid air plasma: a key to chemical application, *Proc.* 14<sup>th</sup> Int. Sym. *Plasma Chem., Praga, Czech Rep.*, Vol. II, 939-945, (1999)
- [6] H. Lesueur, A. Czernichowski, J. Chapelle. Fr. Pat. 2 639, 172, (1988)
- [7] B. Benstaali, D. Moussa, A. Addou, J. L. Brisset, "Plasma treatment of aqueous solutes: some chemical properties of a gliding arc in humid air", Euro. Phys. J. Appl. Phys. 4, 171-179, (1998)