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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we use the fractional q-calculus to generate some new integral inequalities for some monotonic functions. Other fractional $q$-integral results, using convex functions, are also presented.
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## 1 Introduction

The study of the q-integral inequalities play a fundamental role in the theory of differential equations. We refer the reader to [3, 8, 9, 14] for further information and applications. To motivate our work, we shall introduce some important results. The first one is given in [13], where Ngo et al. proved that for any positive continuous function $f$ on $[0,1]$ satisfying $\int_{x}^{1} f(\tau) d \tau \geq \int_{x}^{1} \tau d \tau, x \in[0,1]$, and for $\delta>0$, the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} f^{\delta+1}(\tau) d \tau \geq \int_{0}^{1} \tau^{\delta} f(\tau) d \tau \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} f^{\delta+1}(\tau) d \tau \geq \int_{0}^{1} \tau f^{\delta}(\tau) d \tau \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are valid.
In [11, W.J. Liu, G.S. Cheng and C.C. Li proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha+\beta}(\tau) d \tau \geq \int_{a}^{b}(\tau-a)^{\alpha} f^{\beta}(\tau) d \tau \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ and for any positive continuous function $f$ on $[a, b]$, such that

$$
\int_{x}^{b} f^{\gamma}(\tau) d \tau \geq \int_{x}^{b}(\tau-a)^{\gamma} d \tau ; \gamma:=\min (1, \beta), x \in[a, b]
$$

Recently, Liu et al. 12 proved another interesting form of integral result, and the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{a}^{b} f^{\beta}(\tau) d \tau}{\int_{a}^{b} f^{\gamma}(\tau) d \tau} \geq \frac{\int_{a}^{b}(\tau-a)^{\delta} f^{\beta}(\tau) d \tau}{\int_{a}^{b}(\tau-a)^{\delta} f^{\gamma}(\tau) d \tau}, \beta \geq \gamma>0, \delta>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

( where $f$ is a positive continuous and decreasing function on $[a, b]$ ), was proved in this paper. Several interesting inequalities can be found in [12.

Many researchers have given considerable attention to (1),(3) and (4) and a number of extensions and generalizations appeared in the literature (e.g. [4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 16]).

The main purpose of this paper is to establish some new fractional q-integral inequalities on the specific time scales $T_{t_{0}}=\left\{t: t=t_{0} q^{n}, n \in N\right\} \cup\{0\}$, where $t_{0} \in R$, and $0<q<1$. Other fractional $q$-integral results, involving convex functions, are also presented. Our results have some relationships with those obtained in [12].

## 2 Notations and Preliminaries

In this section, we provide a summary of the mathematical notations and definitions used in this paper. For more details, one can consult [1,2].
Let $t_{0} \in R$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t_{0}}:=\left\{t: t=t_{0} q^{n}, n \in N\right\} \cup\{0\}, 0<q<1 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a function $f: T_{t_{0}} \rightarrow R$, the $\nabla \mathrm{q}$-derivative of $f$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{q} f(t)=\frac{f(q t)-f(t)}{(q-1) t} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in T \backslash\{0\}$ and its $\nabla q$-integral is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) \nabla \tau=(1-q) t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i} f\left(t q^{i}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fundamental theorem of calculus applies to the $q$-derivative and $q$-integral. In particular, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{q} \int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) \nabla \tau=f(t) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is continuous at 0 , then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \nabla_{q} f(\tau) \nabla \tau=f(t)-f(0) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T_{t_{1}}, T_{t_{2}}$ denote two time scales. Let $f: T_{t_{1}} \rightarrow R$ be continuous let $g: T_{t_{1}} \rightarrow T_{t_{2}}$ be $q$-differentiable, strictly increasing, and $g(0)=0$. Then for $b \in T_{t_{1}}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} f(t) \nabla_{q} g(t) \nabla t=\int_{0}^{g(b)}\left(f \circ g^{-1}\right)(s) \nabla s \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$-factorial function is defined as follows:
If $n$ is a positive integer, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-s) \underline{(n)}=(t-s)(t-q s)\left(t-q^{2} s\right) \ldots\left(t-q^{n-1} s\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n$ is not a positive integer, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-s) \underline{(n)}=t^{n} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-\left(\frac{s}{t}\right) q^{k}}{1-\left(\frac{s}{t}\right) q^{n+k}} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$-derivative of the $q$-factorial function with respect to $t$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{q}(t-s) \underline{(n)}=\frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q}(t-s) \underline{(n-1)}, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $q$-derivative of the $q$-factorial function with respect to $s$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{q}(t-s) \underline{(n)}=-\frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q}(t-q s) \underline{(n-1)} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$-exponential function is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{q}(t)=\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{k} t\right), e_{q}(0)=1 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fractional $q$-integral operator of order $\alpha \geq 0$, for a function $f$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha} f(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-q \tau) \frac{\alpha-1}{} f(\tau) \nabla \tau ; \quad \alpha>0, t>0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{q}(\alpha):=\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{u}{1-q}\right)^{\alpha-1} e_{q}(q u) \nabla u$.

## 3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $f$ and $g$ be two positive and continuous functions on $T_{t_{0}}$ such that $f$ is decreasing and $g$ is increasing on $T_{t_{0}}$. Then for all $\alpha>0, \beta \geq \gamma>0, \delta>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\beta}(t)\right]}{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\gamma}(t)\right]} \geq \frac{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\beta}(t)\right]}{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\gamma}(t)\right]}, t>0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\tau, \rho):=\left(g^{\delta}(\rho)-g^{\delta}(\tau)\right)\left(f^{\beta}(\tau) f^{\gamma}(\rho)-f^{\gamma}(\tau) f^{\beta}(\rho)\right), \tau, \rho \in(0, t), t>0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\tau, \rho) \geq 0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-q \tau) \stackrel{(\alpha-1)}{\underline{\Gamma_{q}}(\alpha)} H(\tau, \rho) \nabla \tau=g^{\delta}(\rho) f^{\gamma}(\rho) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\beta}(t)\right]+f^{\beta}(\rho) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta}(t) f^{\gamma}(t)\right]}{} \begin{array}{c}
-f^{\gamma}(\rho) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta}(t) f^{\beta}(t)\right]-g^{\delta}(\rho) f^{\beta}(\rho) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\gamma}(t)\right] \geq 0
\end{array} . \tag{3.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{gather*}
2^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-q \rho) \frac{(\alpha-1)}{(t-q \tau) \stackrel{(\alpha-1)}{2}}}{\Gamma_{q}^{2}(\alpha)} H(\tau, \rho) \nabla \tau \nabla \rho=\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\beta}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta}(t) f^{\gamma}(t)\right]  \tag{3.21}\\
-\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\gamma}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta}(t) f^{\beta}(t)\right) \geq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 is thus proved.

Another result which generalizes Theorem 3.1 is described in the following theorem:
Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are two positive and continuous functions on $T_{t_{0}}$, such that $f$ is decreasing and $g$ is increasing on $T_{t_{0}}$. Then for all $\alpha>0, \omega>0, \beta \geq \gamma>0, \delta>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\beta}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\gamma}(t)\right]+\nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[f^{\beta}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\gamma}(t)\right]}{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\gamma}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\beta}(t)\right]+\nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[f^{\gamma}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\beta}(t)\right]} \geq 1 ; t>0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The relation (3.20) allows us to obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-q \rho) \stackrel{(\omega-1)}{ }(t-q \tau) \stackrel{(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma_{q}(\omega) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} H(\tau, \rho) \nabla \tau \nabla \rho=\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\beta}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\gamma}(t)\right]}{+\nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[f^{\beta}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\gamma}(t)\right]-\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\gamma}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\beta}(t)\right)-\nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[f^{\gamma}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\beta}(t)\right] \geq 0,} \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $\omega>0$.
Hence, we have 3.22.

Remark 3.1. It is clear that Theorem 3.1 would follow as a special case of Theorem 3.2 for $\alpha=\omega$.
The third result is given by the following theorem:
Theorem 3.3. Let $f$ and $g$ be two positive continuous functions on $T_{t_{0}}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\delta}(\tau) g^{\delta}(\rho)-f^{\delta}(\rho) g^{\delta}(\tau)\right)\left(f^{\beta-\gamma}(\tau)-f^{\beta-\gamma}(\rho)\right) \geq 0 ; \tau, \rho \in(0, t), t>0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\delta+\beta}(t)\right]}{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\delta+\gamma}(t)\right]} \geq \frac{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\beta}(t)\right]}{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\gamma}(t)\right]}, \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\alpha>0, \beta \geq \gamma>0, \delta>0$.
Proof. We consider the quantity:

$$
K(\tau, \rho):=\left(f^{\delta}(\tau) g^{\delta}(\rho)-f^{\delta}(\rho) g^{\delta}(\tau)\right)\left(f^{\gamma}(\rho) f^{\beta}(\tau)-f^{\gamma}(\tau) f^{\beta}(\rho)\right) ; \tau, \rho \in(0, t), t>0
$$

and we use the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Using two fractional parameters, we obtain the following generalization of Theorem 3.3:
Theorem 3.4. Let $f$ and $g$ be two positive continuous functions on $T_{t_{0}}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\delta}(\tau) g^{\delta}(\rho)-f^{\delta}(\rho) g^{\delta}(\tau)\right)\left(f^{\beta-\gamma}(\tau)-f^{\beta-\gamma}(\rho)\right) \geq 0 ; \tau, \rho \in(0, t), t>0 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all $\alpha>0, \omega>0, \beta \geq \gamma>0, \delta>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\delta+\beta}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\gamma}(t)\right]+\nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[f^{\delta+\beta}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\gamma}(t)\right]}{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[f^{\gamma+\delta}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\beta}(t)\right]+\nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[f^{\gamma+\delta}(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[g^{\delta} f^{\beta}(t)\right]} \geq 1 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2. Applying Theorem 3.4, for $\alpha=\omega$, we obtain Theorem 3.3].
Involving convex functions, we have the following result:
Theorem 3.5. Let $f$ and $h$ be two positive continuous functions on $T_{t_{0}}$ and $f \leq h$ on $T_{t_{0}}$. If $\frac{f}{h}$ is decreasing and $f$ is increasing on $[0, \infty[$, then for any convex function $\phi ; \phi(0)=0$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}(f(t))}{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}(h(t))} \geq \frac{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}(\phi(f(t)))}{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}(\phi(h(t)))}, t>0, \alpha>0 \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid.
Proof. Using the fact that on $T_{t_{0}}, \frac{\phi(f(.))}{f(.)}$ is an increasing function and $\frac{f}{h}$ is a decreasing function, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\tau, \rho) \geq 0, \tau, \rho \in(0, t), t>0 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
L(\tau, \rho):=\frac{\phi(f(\tau))}{f(\tau)} f(\rho) h(\tau)+\frac{\phi(f(\rho))}{f(\rho)} f(\tau) h(\rho)  \tag{3.30}\\
-\frac{\phi(f(\rho))}{f(\rho)} f(\rho) h(\tau)-\frac{\phi(f(\tau))}{f(\tau)} f(\tau) h(\rho), \tau, \rho \in(0, t), t>0
\end{gather*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of 3.29 by $\frac{(t-q \tau)(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}$, then integrating the resulting inequality with respect to $\tau$ over $(0, t)$, yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(\rho) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[\frac{\phi(f(t))}{f(t)} h(t)\right]+\frac{\phi(f(\rho))}{f(\rho)} h(\rho) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha} f(t)  \tag{3.31}\\
-\frac{\phi(f(\rho))}{f(\rho)} f(\rho) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha} h(t)-h(\rho) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[\frac{\phi(f(t))}{f(t)} f(t)\right] \geq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

With the same arguments as before, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha} f(t)\left[\frac{\phi(f(t))}{f(t)} h(t)\right]-\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha} h(t) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[\frac{\phi(f(t))}{f(t)} f(t)\right] \geq 0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi(f(\tau))}{f(\tau)} \leq \frac{\phi(h(\tau))}{h(\tau)}, \tau \in(0, t), t>0 . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(t-q \tau) \stackrel{(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}}{\text { ( }} h(\tau) \frac{\phi(f(\tau))}{f(\tau)} \leq \frac{(t-q \tau) \stackrel{(\alpha-1)}{ }}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} h(\tau) \frac{\phi(h(\tau))}{h(\tau)}, \tau \in(0, t), t>0 . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (3.34) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[\frac{\phi(f(t))}{f(t)} h(t)\right] \leq \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[\frac{\phi(h(t))}{h(t)} h(t)\right] . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.32) and (3.35), we obtain (3.28).
To finish, we present to the reader the following result which generalizes the previous theorem:
Theorem 3.6. Let $f$ and $h$ be two positive continuous functions on on $T_{t_{0}}$ and $f \leq h$ on $T_{t_{0}}$. If $\frac{f}{h}$ is decreasing and $f$ is increasing on $T_{t_{0}}$, then for any convex function $\phi ; \phi(0)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}(f(t)) \nabla_{q}^{-\omega}(\phi(h(t)))+\nabla_{q}^{-\omega}(f(t)) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}(\phi(h(t)))}{\nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}(h(t)) \nabla_{q}^{-\omega}(\phi(f(t)))+\nabla_{q}^{-\omega}(h(t)) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}(\phi(f(t)))} \geq 1, \alpha>0, \omega>0, t>0 . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The relation 3.31 allows us to obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{q}^{-\omega} f(t) J^{\alpha}\left[\frac{\phi(f(t))}{f(t)} h(t)\right]+\nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[\frac{\phi(f(t))}{f(t)} h(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha} f(t)  \tag{3.37}\\
-\nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[\frac{\phi(f(t))}{f(t)} f(t)\right] \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha} h(t)-\nabla_{q}^{-\omega} h(t) \nabla_{q}^{-\alpha}\left[\frac{\phi(f(t))}{f(t)} f(t)\right] \geq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(t-q \tau) \stackrel{(\omega-1)}{\Gamma_{q}(\omega)}}{\Gamma^{(\omega)}} h(\tau) \frac{\phi(f(\tau))}{f(\tau)} \leq \frac{(t-q \tau) \stackrel{(\alpha-1)}{ }}{\Gamma_{q}(\omega)} h(\tau) \frac{\phi(h(\tau))}{h(\tau)}, \tau \in[0, t], t>0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating both sides of 3.38 with respect to $\tau$ over $(0, t)$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[\frac{\phi(f(t))}{f(t)} h(t)\right] \leq \nabla_{q}^{-\omega}\left[\frac{\phi(h(t))}{h(t)} h(t)\right] . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.35), 3.37) and (3.39), we get (3.36).
Remark 3.3. Applying Theorem 3.6, for $\alpha=\omega$, we obtain Theorem 3.5.
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